

SUITE 350

BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/751,271		12/28/2000	Clifton A. Alferness	1931-1	8995	
996	7590	05/18/2004		EXAMINER		
GRAYBEA	AL, JACK	SON, HALEY LL	ODLAND, KATHRYN P			
155 - 108TI					TARRES AND ADED	

3743
DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	VV				
Advisory Action	09/751,271	ALFERNESS ET AL.					
Autiony Aution	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kathryn Odland	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adversent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	efee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.				
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	stion(s):	•					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	enarate timely filed	amendment				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	•						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has carefully reviewed applicant's arguments. Applicant argues, "The Houser device does not encircle the mitral valve at all while it is in the coronary sinus." However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant's attention is directed to figure 42B. Element 398 is the coronary sinus. The constrictive device such as 498a is advanced into the coronary sinus of the heart until the constrictive device at least partially encircles the mitral valve of the heart. In this figure, portion of the clip is encircling the valve and another portion is still within the coronary sinus. Applicant has failed to define over the prior art rejection. The claim language does not preclude penetrating through tissue.

Henry Bennett

Supervisory and